Semantic Foreground Feature Extraction and Camera-aware Re-allocation Clustering for Unsupervised Person Re-identification

Ge Cao¹ and Kanghyun Jo^{1*}

¹Department of Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering, University of Ulsan, Ulsan, 44610, Korea (caoge@ulsan.ac.kr, acejo@ulsan.ac.kr)

Abstract: Unsupervised person re-identification has reached an incredible developing process, especially the clusteringbased method. However, there are still two challenging problems in this field. First, the majority of algorithms employ input samples that include background noise to generate pseudo labels. Second, the common clustering method cannot guarantee enough reliable clustering quality. In order to address these challenging issues, we propose a Semantic Feature Extraction-Camera-aware Re-allocation (SFE-CR) framework for unsupervised person re-identification. Especially, in the semantic feature extraction module, we use a parsing model to extract semantic local features for training samples, so as to eliminate the background noise. In the camera-aware re-allocation module, we split the samples using their camera id and re-allocate the pseudo label generated by the common clustering method. Further experiments on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID datasets show that the proposed method's effection exceeds the baseline evidently.

Keywords: Unsupervised person re-identification, Semantic feature extraction, Label Re-allocation

1. INTRODUCTION

Person Re-identification (Re-ID) is the task of searching the queried pedestrian from a non-overlapping crosscamera system, which forms the cornerstone of many applications, including object tracking [1] and human activity analysis [2]. In an effort to lessen the time-consuming and cumbersome work of manual annotation, large numbers of existing works focus on unsupervised person re-ID cases. Thanks to the flourishing development of deep learning, the research in the unsupervised field also performed great results.

Under the unsupervised person re-ID case, one popular solution is Unsupervised Domain Adaptation (UDA) [3], which targets to train a robust and reliable backbone network for the target domain dataset by using the annotated label in the source domain dataset. However, this kind of work also leverages the annotated label, and this paper proposed a method that only focuses on the target dataset, which is called fully unsupervised, and thus there are more challenges than the research under UDA-based. Many existing methods mainly resort to generating reliable pseudo labels to train under supervised cases. For producing sufficiently reliable pseudo label, clusteringbased methods [9], [4] have been widely employed to split the samples in the training process into multiple clusters and invested a pseudo-identity class for every cluster. Thus, the clustering quality is vital for unsupervised training. As shown in Fig.1, it's obvious that the clustering quality would be affected by the varying backgrounds, illuminations, clothing styles, and occlusions across different cameras. Those negative factors cause a large domain gap which harmed the training performance. And the pipeline of most clustering-based methods will generate large quantities of wrong pseudo labels in the beginning epochs of the training. As shown in Fig. 2, there are four sets of samples that are taken from the clustering results of the first training epoch. The four

Market-1501Image: Selection of the selection

DukeMTMC-reID



Fig. 1. Caption of the large domain gaps between Market-1501 [14] and DukeMTMC-reID [13]. The images of each row is captured from the same identity. It is obvious that the backgrounds, illuminations, occlusions vary greatly due to the variety of camera position changes and time changes.

samples in each blue dotted box are wrongly clustered as a pseudo identity mainly due to the similar background. And most clusters contain the samples captured from the same camera. To solute this problem, we proposed a framework named SFE-CR, which contains a Semantic Foreground feature Extraction module (SFEM) for eliminating the background noise, and a Camera-aware Reallocation Module (CRM) to allocate a more robust and reliable pseudo label for each sample.



Fig. 2. Illustration of the negative affection of the similar background for clustering quality on Market-1501 [14]. The samples in each blue dotted box are wrongly clustered as a pseudo identity in the clustering process.

The remaining content of this paper could be divided into four parts as follows. Section. 2 summarized the related work. The details of SFEM and CRM in the whole architecture are introduced in Section. 3. Section. 4 provides the implementation details of the training and testing process, and the comparison results of the experiments. Section. 5 summarizes the paper in the end.

2. RELATED WORKS

The traditional unsupervised person re-ID works exists without utilizing the deep learning algorithm, which contains many famous researches, such as hand-craft features design [5], localized salience statistic exploit [20] and dictionary learning based methods [21]. However, it is not easy to get discriminative features for distinguishing the identities in cross-camera systems. And the varying environment conditions like illumination and occlusions would harm the performance of these methods. Recent within the deep learning methods, unsupervised domain adaptation (UDA) and fully unsupervised approaches are two mainstreaming method that have demonstrated excellent performance.

Under UDA case, a typical representative framework is proposed by Yang et al. [22] which investigated ways to use the samples from the target domain's samples that naturally share comparable traits to learn how to conduct person re-ID without supervision. ECN [19] introduced the memory bank method into person re-ID field, and focus on the intra-domain variations and improved the discriminative level of the target domain. MAR [23] proposed an idea to compare (and represent) each unlabeled person with a group of well-known reference individuals from an auxiliary domain in order to acquire a soft multilabel (real-valued label probability vector) for each unlabeled person. Lin et al. [9] treated each identity as a cluster and gradually combine the reliable samples into the clusters which is an impressive clusteringbased idea. The MMCL [11] leveraged the momery bank thoughts and produced multi-label for samples to compute the classification loss.

In the above methods, most of them perform feature extraction that contains both foreground information and

background noise which would harm the classification ability of the network. In addition, the works which utilize the common clustering method like DBSCAN [4] and k-nearest [24], have no more innovative contribution.

3. METHODOLOGY

The proposed framework is introduced in this section detailedly. Section 3.1 is the problem formulation and overview of this paper. The Sections 3.2 and 3.3 demonstrate the Semantic Foreground feature Extraction module (SFEM) and Camera-aware Re-allocation Module (CRM) respectively.

3.1 Problem Formulation and Overview

Denote $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$ as an unlabeled target dataset of person re-ID, where N denotes the total number of identities in the training set. There are three subsets included in the X: the training set \mathcal{T} for the training process, the query set \mathcal{Q} and the gallery set \mathcal{G} for testing. In the testing process, when giving image q from the query set, the goal is to retrieve image g in the gallery set, where the f and g are supposed to be captured from the same identity.

As shown in Fig. 3, when we employ x_i as the input sample, the model extracts the feature vector as representation f_i . For inference, the representation of the same person is supposed to be as similar as possible.

At the beginning of each training epochs, we do the clustering process first. When giving the input samples, the backbone network [12] is utilized to extract deep features $M = \{m_1, m_2, ..., m_N\}$. Then the clustering algorithm DBSCAN [4] is employed on these extracted features to produce pseudo labels $Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_N\}$ for every sample. For the inliers of the clustering result, we update the training set and the outliers are discarded in the remaining process in each epoch.

Clusters must have one more sample included, so it is necessary to set a centroid of cluster a to represent all the instances included in a cluster:

$$c_a = \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{m_i \in y_a} m_i \tag{1}$$

where N_a is the number of samples included in cluster a. Then for an input image in X, we can get the responding feature f_a belonging to cluster a through the backbone network. The contrastive loss is a softmax log loss with one positive cluster c_a and all other negative centroids of samples in a mini-batch:

$$\mathbf{L} = E \left[-\log \frac{exp(f_a \cdot c_a/\tau)}{\sum_{i=1}^{|c|} exp(f_a \cdot c_i/\tau)} \right]$$
(2)

where |c| is the number of clusters in a mini-batch and τ is a temperature hyper-parameter for expanding the gap between the values.

This is the baseline algorithm we applied in this paper. The performance of the baseline and more comparisons is shown in section 4.



Fig. 3. The overview of the proposed SFE-CR framework.

3.2 Semantic Foreground Feature Extraction Module

The background could affect the clustering results' quality as we showed in Fig.2, we construct a semantic foreground feature extraction module to eliminate the background noise. Given a sample x_i , we utilize a parsing model to get the human body foreground mask P_i . The foreground mask is a two-dimension matrix with binary values. Then we perform element-wise multiplication on the input sample x_i and foreground mask P_i to get the input sample without background noise G_i , which keeps the same size as the input sample,

$$G_i = x_i \bigotimes F_i \tag{3}$$

where \bigotimes denotes the element-wise multiplication.

Then given the input sample x_i and generated sample with no background noise G_i , the backbone network extracts the *D*-dim feature F_i^x and F_i^g , respectively. The F_i^x , which is extracted from the input sample, is a vital feature for providing a discriminative feature to training loss. We directly utilize the clustering-based method DB-SCAN [4] to generate the global clustering pseudo label. Then subsection 3.3 will introduce the usage of F_i^g in the camera-aware re-allocation module.

3.3 Camera-aware Re-allocation Module

To generate a more robust and reliable global pseudo label, we propose the camera-aware re-allocation module to make the global clustering results more accurate. Given the feature F_i^g , we divide the samples into n groups, where n denotes the number of cameras in the training process, for example, in Market-1501 [14] dataset, the samples are captured by 6 cameras. So in this step, the samples are divided into 6 groups. And we apply DBSCAN in each group. Then every sample has a local cluster pseudo label. The process of re-allocation is shown in Fig. 4. If the majority of samples in local clusters appear in the same global cluster, we consider all samples in local clusters belonging to a global cluster. So given the local cluster L_i and global cluster G_i , we compute the overlap degree $P(L_i \rightarrow G_i)by$:

$$P(L_i \to G_i) = \frac{|L_i \cap G_i|}{|L_i|} \tag{4}$$

• Samples in cam 1 • Samples in cam 1

Fig. 4. Illustration of the camera-aware re-allocation module. Due to the dividing operation (with camera id), each identity is naturally split into multiple local clusters. If the majority samples in local clusters appear in the same global cluster, we consider all samples in local clusters belonging to a global cluster.

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the number of samples in the set. So the $P(L_i \rightarrow G_i)$ is the indicator for deciding whether L_i should be included into G_i . The process can be formulated as:

$$L_i \to G_i$$

s.t. $P(L_i \to G_i) \ge \delta$ (5)

where δ is the threshold.

For instance, in Fig. 4, n = 2, when given the local cluster label and global cluster label of the orange sample. The overlap degree $P(L_i \rightarrow G_i) = \frac{4}{5}$, so the orange sample which originally not belong to the global cluster should be re-allocated into G_i .

Discussions: About the condition when the overlap rate is too low, this paper didn't remove the samples which originally belong to the global cluster. Because of the bad performance of setting the bottom-threshold for removing the terrible clustered sample, we have reason to believe that the re-allocation algorithm is not wholly satisfactory. And in future work, we will focus on that and improve the performance.

4. EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Dataset and evaluation metrics

Market-1501 [14], DukeMTMC-reID [13] datasets are applied in this paper. Market-1501 contains 32,668 la-

Method	reference	Market-1501					DukeMTMC-reID				
Method		Source	Rank-1	Rank-5	Rank-10	mAP	Source	Rank-1	Rank-5	Rank-10	mAP
LOMO [5]	CVPR15	None	27.2	41.6	49.1	8	None	12.3	21.3	26.6	4.8
BOW [6]	ICCV15	None	35.8	52.4	60.3	14.8	None	17.1	28.8	34.9	8.3
UDML [7]	CVPR16	None	34.5	52.6	59.6	12.4	None	18.5	31.4	37.6	7.2
DECAMEL [8]	TPAMI18	None	60.2	76	81.1	32.4	-	-	-	-	-
BUC [9]	AAAI19	None	66.2	79.6	84.5	38.3	None	47.4	62.6	68.4	27.5
DBC [10]	BMVC19	None	69.2	83	87.8	41.3	None	51.5	64.6	70.1	30
MMCL [11]	CVPR20	None	80.3	89.4	92.3	45.5	None	65.2	75.9	80	40.2
Ours(SFE-CR)	This paper	None	83.1	92.5	95.3	65.4	None	77.7	87.2	90.7	61.8

Table 1. Unsupervised person re-ID performance comparison with other methods on Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID.

Table 2. Unsupervised person re-ID performance comparison with the baseline on Market1501, DukeMTMC-reID datasets.

Model		Marke	et-1501		DukeMTMC-reID				
	mAP	R1	R5	R10	mAP	R1	R5	R10	
baseline	62.9	79.7	88.3	91.2	57.5	74.3	82.7	86.0	
SFE-CR	65.4(+2.5)	83.1(+3.4)	92.5(+4.2)	95.3(+4.1)	61.8(+4.3)	77.7(+3.4)	87.2(+4.5)	90.7(+4.7)	

Table 3. The information of two person re-identification datasets (Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-reID) used in this work.

Dataset	Identities	Training	Gallery	Query	Cameras
Market [14]	1,501	12,936	19,732	3,368	6
Duke [13]	1,404	16,522	17,611	2,228	8

beled person images of 1,501 identities collected from 6 non-overlapping camera views. *DukeMTMC-reID* contains 36,411 annotated images of 1,404 identities with 8 cameras. These datasets are constructed with a large amount of annotated images collected from different view cameras, illumination, indoor or outdoor scene, and other variations. More details can be found in Table. 3. In this paper, we follow the standard settings with [11], [19]. We don't use any other labeled dataset when training and testing and the performance is evaluated by Mean average precision (mAP) and the Cumulative Matching Characteristic (CMC) Rank-1/5/10 matching accuracy.

4.2 Implementation Details

We employ the ResNet-50 [12] pretrained on ImageNet [16] as the backbone network in all the experiments. Based on it, we remove the fully-connected classification layer, and add a Batch Normalization (BN) layer after the Global Average Pooling (GAP) layer. The L_2 normalized feature is used for the updating of proxies in the memory during training, and also for the distance ranking during inference. For each input image, we resize it into 256×128 .

In the camera-aware re-allocation module, the threshold $\delta = 0.85$ in this paper.

The parsing model is the state-of-th-art SCHP pretrained model [17] trained on LIP dataset [18] to get the human body masks for all samples in advance. The original human mask has 6 parts for background, head, leg, arm, upper-body and the foreground. In this paper, we only utilize the foreground part.

At the beginning of each epoch, we compute Jaccard distance with k-reciprocal nearest neighbors and use DB-SCAN with a threshold of 0.5 for the global clustering.

We use ADAM as the optimizer. The initial learning rate is 0.00035 with a warmup scheme in the first 10 epochs, and is divided by 10 after each 20 epochs. The total epoch number is 50. We set the batch size to 32 in training and testing and all experiments are implemented on PyTorch 1.4 with CUDA 10.1. Each training batch consists of 32 images randomly sampled from 8 proxies with 4 images per cluster. Random flipping, cropping, and erasing are applied as data augmentation.

4.3 Test results on Person Re-ID datasets

We compare the proposed method against state-of-theart unsupervised learning works on *Market-1501* [14], *DukeMTMC-reID* [13]. The comparison results are shown in Table. III.

We only compare with the methods which don't need any other labeled dataset: LOMO [5], BOW [6], UDML [7], DECAMEL [8], BUC [9], DBC [10] and MMCL [11]

In the comparison methods, LOMO and BOW used traditional unsupervised learning methods which utilize hand-crafted features and got lower re conclusion section is not required. Compared with others. UDML proposed a multi-task dictionary learning method to learn dataset-shared but target-data-biased representation. DE-CAMEL, BUC and DBC use the clustering method to train their networks. MMCL proposed memory-based multi-label classification loss.

It is obvious that our proposed model outperforms other works with a large margin. For instance, Table. 1 compared the baseline with the other methods. Compared with the baseline, our approach obtains 3.4% Rank-1 and 2.5% mAP gain on Market-1501 dataset, 3.4% Rank-1 and 4.3% mAP gain on DukeMTMC-reID.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a Semantic Feature Extraction-Camera-aware Re-allocation (SFE-CR) framework for unsupervised person re-identification tasks. The SFE-CR contains a semantic foreground feature extraction module and a camera-aware re-allocation module, which eliminate the background noise and improve the clustering quality, respectively. This paper demonstrates the effectiveness of the proposed method and shows the performance compared with other methods. And we still have great research potential in reallocation algorithms in future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This results was supported by "Regional Innovation Strategy (RIS)" through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(MOE)(2021RIS-003)

REFERENCES

- J. Nino, A. Frias Velazquez, N. Bo, M. Slembrouck, J. Guan, G. Debard, B. Vanrumste, T. Tuytelaars, and W. Philips, Scalable semi-automatic annotation for multi-camera person tracking, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 25, pp. 11, 05 2016.
- [2] L. Zheng, Y. Yang, and A. G. Hauptmann, Person Re-identification: Past, Present and Future, arXiv eprints, p. arXiv:1610.02984, Oct. 2016.
- [3] Liangchen Song, Cheng Wang, Lefei Zhang, Bo Du, Qian Zhang, Chang Huang, and Xinggang Wang. Unsupervised domain adaptive reidentification: Theory and practice. PR, 2020. 1, 2
- [4] Ester, M.; Kriegel, H.-P.; Sander, J.; Xu, X.; et al. 1996. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In Kdd.
- [5] S. Liao, Y. Hu, X. Zhu, and S. Z. Li, Person Reidentification by Local Maximal Occurrence Representation and Metric Learning, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:1406.4216, Jun. 2014.
- [6] L. Zheng, L. Shen, L. Tian, S. Wang, J. Wang, and Q. Tian, Scalable person re-identification: A benchmark, 12 2015, pp. 11161124
- [7] P. Peng, T. Xiang, Y. Wang, M. Pontil, S. Gong, T. Huang, and Y. Tian, Unsupervised cross-dataset transfer learning for person reidentification, in 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2016, pp. 13061315.
- [8] H. Yu, A. Wu, and W. Zheng, Unsupervised person re-identification by deep asymmetric metric embedding, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and

Machine Intelligence, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 956973, 2020.

- [9] Y. Lin, X. Dong, L. Zheng, Y. Yan, and Y. Yang, A bottom-up clustering approach to unsupervised person re-identification, Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 33, pp. 87388745, 07 2019.
- [10] G. Ding, S. H. Khan, and Z. Tang, Dispersion based clustering for unsupervised person re-identification, in BMVC, 2019.
- [11] D. Wang and S. Zhang, Unsupervised Person Reidentification via Multi-label Classification, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2004.09228, Apr. 2020.
- [12] He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; and Sun, J. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In CVPR.
- [13] Ergys Ristani, Francesco Solera, Roger Zou, Rita Cucchiara, and Carlo Tomasi. Performance measures and a data set for multi-target, multi-camera tracking. In ECCV, 2016. 5, 7
- [14] Liang Zheng, Liyue Shen, Lu Tian, Shengjin Wang, Jingdong Wang, and Qi Tian. Scalable person reidentification: A benchmark. In ICCV, 2015. 2, 5, 6, 7, 8.
- [15] Menglin Wang, Baisheng Lai, Jianqiang Huang, Xiaojin Gong, and Xian-Sheng Hua. Camera-aware proxies for unsupervised person re-identification. In AAAI, 2021. 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11.
- [16] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L. Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei, Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database, in 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2009, pp. 248255.
- [17] Peike Li, Yunqiu Xu, Yunchao Wei, and Yi Yang. Self-correction for human parsing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.09777, 2019. 3
- [18] Xiaodan Liang, Ke Gong, Xiaohui Shen, and Liang Lin. Look into person: Joint body parsing & pose estimation network and a new benchmark. TPAMI, 41(4):871885, 2018. 3
- [19] Z. Zhong, L. Zheng, Z. Luo, S. Li, and Y. Yang, Invariance Matters: Exemplar Memory for Domain Adaptive Person Re-identification, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:1904.01990, Apr. 2019.
- [20] H. Wang, S. Gong, and T. Xiang, Unsupervised learning of generative topic saliency for person reidentification, BMVC 2014 - Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference 2014, 01 2014.
- [21] E. Kodirov, T. Xiang, Z. Fu, and S. Gong, Person re-identification by unsupervised 11 graph learning, vol. 9905, 10 2016, pp. 178195.
- [22] Y. Fu, Y. Wei, G. Wang, Y. Zhou, H. Shi, and T. Huang, Self-similarity Grouping: A Simple Unsupervised Cross Domain Adaptation Approach for Person Re-identification, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:1811.10144, Nov. 2018.
- [23] H.-X. Yu, W.-S. Zheng, A. Wu, X. Guo, S. Gong, and J.-H. Lai, Unsupervised Person Reidentification by Soft Multilabel Learning, arXiv eprints, p. arXiv:1903.06325, Mar. 2019.

[24] Zhong, Z.; Zheng, L.; and Li, S. 2017. Re-ranking Person Re-identification with k-Reciprocal Encoding. In CVPR.