# Spatio-Temporal Flame Modeling and Dynamic Texture Analysis for Automatic Video-Based Fire Detection

Kosmas Dimitropoulos, Panagiotis Barmpoutis, and Nikos Grammalidis

Abstract—Every year, a large number of wildfires all over the world burn forested lands, causing adverse ecological, economic, and social impacts. Beyond taking precautionary measures, early warning and immediate response are the only ways to avoid great losses. To this end, in this paper we propose a computer vision approach for fire-flame detection to be used by an earlywarning fire monitoring system. Initially, candidate fire regions in a frame are defined using background subtraction and color analysis based on a nonparametric model. Subsequently, the fire behavior is modeled by employing various spatio-temporal features, such as color probability, flickering, spatial, and spatiotemporal energy, while dynamic texture analysis is applied in each candidate region using linear dynamical systems and a bagof-systems approach. To increase the robustness of the algorithm, the spatio-temporal consistency energy of each candidate fire region is estimated by exploiting prior knowledge about the possible existence of fire in neighboring blocks from the current and previous video frames. As a final step, a two-class support vector machine classifier is used to classify the candidate regions. Experimental results have shown that the proposed method outperforms existing state-of-the-art algorithms.

*Index Terms*—Bag of systems (BoS), dynamic textures analysis, fire detection, linear dynamic systems, spatio-temporal modeling.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**B** ECAUSE FIRE is one of the most harmful natural hazards affecting everyday life around the world, early fire warning systems have attracted particular attention recently. The most advanced approaches in automatic early forest fire detection are based on space borne (satellite), airborne unmanned aerial vehicles or terrestrial-based systems. Among these, terrestrial systems based on CCD video cameras are considered as the most promising technology for automatic fire detection due to their low cost, high resolution, short time response, and easy confirmation of the alarm by a human operator through the surveillance monitor.

For this reason, video-based flame detection techniques have been widely investigated during the last decade. The main challenge in video-based flame detection lies in the modeling

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCSVT.2014.2339592

of the chaotic and complex nature of the fire phenomenon and the large variations of flame appearance in video. To address this problem, many researchers use the motion characteristics of flame as well as the spatial distribution of fire colors in the scene or they try to combine both temporal and spatial characteristics. However, many natural objects have similar behavior with fire, e.g., the sun, various artificial lights or light reflections on various surfaces, dust particles, and so on, which can often be mistakenly detected as flames. In addition, scene complexity and low-video quality also affect the robustness of vision-based flame detection algorithms, thus, increasing the false alarm rate.

On the other hand, dynamic texture analysis has been successfully applied in the past for the classification of video sequences in multimedia databases. A dynamic texture in video can be simply defined as a texture with motion, i.e., a spatially and time-varying visual pattern that forms an image sequence or part of an image sequence with a certain temporal stationarity [1]. While dynamic texture analysis is also applied to the categorization of sequences containing flame, smoke, steam, and so on, these general techniques are not used in practical fire detection algorithms due to their high-computational cost [2]. Furthermore, most of the existing dynamic texture categorization methods are used to model a complete video sequence or a manually selected image region in a video, hence, they cannot provide to a fire detection system neither any information regarding the exact localization of the fire in the scene nor the time of the fire incident.

Unlike the mentioned methods, this paper uses both types of flame modeling and makes the following contributions.

- A novel method is proposed for flame detection based on the combination of features extracted from spatiotemporal flame modeling and dynamic texture analysis. In this way, the proposed method not only focuses on the identification of specific fire properties (e.g., color, motion, flickering, etc.), but exploits also the ability of linear dynamical systems (LDSs) to analyze the temporal evolution of the pixels' intensities to increase the robustness of the algorithm.
- The proposed method addresses three significant limitations of LDS-based approaches for dynamic texture categorization. More specifically: 1) reduces the computational cost required for the dynamic texture analysis through redundant data reduction; 2) determines the time

1051-8215 © 2014 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications\_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

Manuscript received October 30, 2013; revised February 10, 2014, April 22, 2014, and June 12, 2014; accepted July 10, 2014. Date of publication July 16, 2014; date of current version February 4, 2015. This paper was recommended by Associate Editor Y. Fu.

The authors are with Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Information Technologies Institute, Thessaloniki 57001, Greece (e-mail: dimitrop@iti.gr; panbar@iti.gr; ngramm@iti.gr).

of the fire incident; and 3) localizes the exact position of the fire in the image. To this end, meaningful information is identified by first applying a preprocessing step to filter out noncandidate fire regions and then using a sliding time window to exclude candidate blocks located out of the defined subsequence. The exact position of the fire in the current frame can then be defined by combining information from spatio-temporal flame modeling and spatio-temporal consistency energy.

- 3) An efficient flame behavior modeling is introduced to identify the color of the fire, the motion characteristics of flame as well as the random variations of its appearance. Specifically, a number of various spatio-temporal features is extracted, such as color probability, flickering, spatial, and spatio-temporal energy, for each candidate fire region.
- 4) A novel approach for enhancing the reliability of the algorithm, namely spatio-temporal consistency energy, is introduced by exploiting: 1) features extracted from both spatio-temporal flame modeling and dynamic texture analysis and 2) prior knowledge about the possible existence of fire in neighboring blocks from the current and previous video frames. Inspired by Markov random field (MRF) approaches, the proposed method estimates an energy cost consisting of two terms: 1) a data cost considering the features in the current block and 2) a smoothness cost considering the state of neighboring blocks in a 3-D image patch.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Related work is presented in detail in Section II. Section III describes the detection of candidate fire regions and presents a general overview of the proposed method. Section IV analyzes the spatio-temporal flame modeling, while the dynamic texture analysis is described in Section V. Spatio-temporal consistency energy and classification of the candidate blocks are presented in Sections VI and VII, respectively. Finally, experimental results are discussed in Section VIII, while conclusions are drawn in Section IX.

## II. RELATED WORK

To model fire behavior many researchers aim to identify various characteristics of flame. For example, Chen et al. [3] adopted a RGB color model and disorder measurements, while Liu and Ahuja [4] proposed an algorithm that uses spectral, spatial, and temporal models of fire regions in visual image sequences. In addition to ordinary motion and color clues, Toreyin et al. [5] detected fire flicker by analyzing the video in the wavelet domain. Quasi-periodic behavior in flame boundaries were detected by performing temporal wavelet transforms. In addition, the color variations in flame regions were detected by computing the spatial wavelet transform of moving fire-colored regions. Furthermore, Toreyin et al. [6] used a hidden Markov model to mimic the temporal behavior of flame. Specifically, Markov models representing the flame and flame colored ordinary moving objects were used to distinguish flame flicker process from motion of flame colored moving objects, while spatial color variations in flame were also evaluated by the same Markov models.

Zhang *et al.* [7], on the other hand, proposed a contour-based forest fire detection method using fast Fourier transform (FFT) and wavelet analysis. The algorithm initially segments fire regions and then uses FFT method to describe the contour, while the calculated Fourier descriptors are analyzed with temporal wavelet.

A different approach was proposed in [8], in which a rule-based generic color model for flame pixel classification was introduced. The algorithm uses YCbCr color space to separate luminance from chrominance more effectively than color spaces such as RGB. In addition to translating the rules developed in the RGB and normalized RGB to YCbCr color space, new rules were proposed in YCbCr color space, which further alleviate the harmful effects of changing illumination. Marbach *et al.* [9] used YUV color model for the representation of video data, where time derivative of luminance component Y was used to declare the candidate fire pixels and the chrominance components U and V were used to classify the candidate pixels to be in the fire sector or not. In addition to luminance and chrominance they have incorporated motion into their work.

In the fire or nonfire classes, Borges and Izquierdo [10] proposed a method that analyzes the frame-to-frame changes of specific low-level features describing potential fire regions, such as color, area size, surface coarseness, boundary roughness, and skewness. The behavioral change of each of these features is evaluated and the results are then combined according to a Bayes classifier for robust fire recognition. Alternatively, Ko et al. [11] proposed hierarchical Bayesian networks for fire-flame detection that contained intermediate nodes. Four probability density functions for evidence at each node were used. These probability density functions were modeled using the skewness of the red color and three high frequencies obtained from a wavelet transform. Later, Ko et al. [12] also used a fire-flame detection method using fuzzy finite automata (FFA) with probability density functions based on visual features, thereby providing a systemic approach to handle uncertainty in computational systems and the ability to handle continuous spaces by combining the capabilities of automata with fuzzy logic.

More recently, within the FP7 EU-funded Firesense project [13] various flame detection algorithms were developed. More specifically, Habiboglu *et al.* [14] proposed a video-based fire detection system, which uses color, spatial, and temporal information. The system divides the video into spatio-temporal blocks and uses covariance-based features extracted from these blocks to detect fire. Feature vectors take advantage of both the spatial and the temporal characteristics of flame-colored regions. Furthermore, Dimitropoulos *et al.* [15] proposed a video flame detection algorithm using a combination of different spatio-temporal features to detect fire. For the discrimination between fire and nonfire regions, two classification methods were investigated: 1) a support vector machine (SVM) classifier and 2) a rule-based approach.

All the aforementioned approaches aim to model the fire behavior based on the identification of high-level cues like flame flickering or spatial color distribution of flame colors and the use of advanced classification techniques to determine whether flames exists in the video images or not. However, problems still exist in many cases, due to the chaotic nature of fire and the large variations in flame appearance in video. Recently, 3-D dynamic texture analysis techniques have been used for the identification of dynamic phenomena, such as sea, smoke, fire, clouds, leaves in the wind, fog, or waves especially for the efficient retrieval of video in multimedia databases. Such dynamic textures can be simply defined as spatially and time-varying visual patterns that form an image sequence or part of an image sequence with a certain temporal stationarity [1]. Several such techniques have been proposed for modeling, learning, recognizing, and synthesizing dynamic textures [16]-[19]. Doretto et al. [1] proposed a method for segmenting a sequence of images of natural scenes into disjoint regions that are characterized by constant spatiotemporal statistics. The spatio-temporal dynamics in each region were modeled using Gauss-Markov models, and their parameters as well as the boundary of the regions were inferred in a variational optimization framework. More recently, Ravichandran et al. [16] proposed a method for the categorization of dynamic textures (e.g., water, fire, and smoke). Each video sequence is modeled with a collection of LDSs, each one describing a small spatio-temporal patch extracted from the video. This bag-of-systems (BoS) representation is analogous to the bag-of-features representation for object recognition, except that LDSs are used as feature descriptors.

In this paper, a new flame detection method is proposed, which aims to extend our previous work [15] by modeling the behavior of the fire using various spatio-temporal features and taking advantage of the recent advances in dynamic texture analysis to increase the robustness of the algorithm. Initially, the algorithm applies background subtraction and color analysis of the moving regions using a nonparametric model to identify the candidate regions (blocks) in the image. Subsequently, the fire behavior is modeled by employing various spatio-temporal features, such as color probability, spatial energy, flickering, and spatio-temporal energy. Dynamic texture analysis using LDSs and BoS is applied only to the candidate fire regions of each frame in a time-window to reduce the computational cost. In addition, spatio-temporal consistency is estimated for each candidate fire region by considering the existence of neighboring: 1) candidate fire blocks in the current and previous frames and 2) fire blocks in the previous frames. As a last step, a two-class (fire and nonfire) SVM classifier with a radial basis function (RBF) kernel is used to classify the candidate fire regions.

#### **III. DETECTION OF CANDIDATE FIRE REGIONS**

The first step of the proposed method aims to filter out nonfire-colored moving regions. For this reason, every frame of the video sequence is divided into  $N \times N$  blocks (in our experiments N = 16). Background subtraction is used as a first step to identify moving objects in the video. Based on the evaluation of thirteen background extraction algorithms in [15], we chose to use the adaptive median algorithm, which is fast and very efficient. In the next processing step, color analysis is applied (Fig. 2) and only blocks that contain an adequate percentage of fire-colored moving pixels are selected as candidate fire blocks. To filter out nonfire moving pixels we compare their values with a predefined RGB color distribution that is created by nonparametric estimation from a number of real fire-samples from a variety of video sequences.

Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_N$  be N fire-colored training RGB samples of the distribution to be approximated. Using these samples, the probability density function of a pixel  $x_t$  can be nonparametrically estimated using the kernel  $K_h$  [20] as

$$\Pr(x_t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} K_h(x_t - x_i).$$
 (1)

If we select a Gaussian kernel,  $K_h = N(0, S)$ , where S is a diagonal covariance matrix with different standard deviation  $\sigma_j$  for each color channel j, then the fire color probability can be estimated as

$$\Pr(x_t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_j^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_{t_j} - x_{i_j})^2}{\sigma_j^2}}.$$
 (2)

Using this probability estimation, the pixel is considered as a fire-colored pixel if  $Pr(x_t) > th$ , where the threshold th is a fixed threshold, which can be adjusted to achieve a desired percentage of false positives. Similar to [20] fire color probabilities in (2) were calculated very efficiently using lookup tables based on the intensity value difference and the kernel bandwidths. For this reason, this technique was preferred over more advanced iterative techniques using positive and negative samples [21], [22], which would increase the computational cost. Hence, if the pixel has a RGB value, which belongs to the distribution of Fig. 2(b), then it is considered as a fire-colored pixel. If the percentage of fire-colored pixels within a block is over a specific level (in our experiments it equals 12.5%), then, the block is considered as candidate for the next steps. This level is suitable for most cases, but if cameras are situated in long distance from fire we can either use smaller blocks or reduce this level to consider it as candidate. For each candidate fire block, a vector of six features is computed in the following steps: 1) fire color probability; 2) spatial wavelet energy; 3) spatio-temporal energy; 4) flickering energy; 5) dynamic texture analysis; and 6) spatio-temporal consistency energy. The computation of these features is described in detail in Sections IV-VI. The final decision (fire/nonfire) is made by an SVM classifier, as shown in Fig. 1.

#### IV. SPATIO-TEMPORAL FLAME MODELING

Since many natural objects have similar colors as those of the fire (e.g., the sun, various artificial lights, or light reflections on various surfaces), which can often be mistakenly detected as flames, careful selection of appropriate spatiotemporal features is needed for modeling the behavior of fire. More specifically, to accurately model the color space of fire, we use nonparametric color analysis (presented in Section III), while the spatial energy in each time instant of a candidate region is estimated by applying 2-D wavelet analysis only on



Fig. 1. Proposed methodology.



Fig. 2. (a) RGB color distribution of the training samples. (b) Final fire-color distribution assuming a global threshold around each sample.

the red channel of the image. We also estimate the spatiotemporal energy in the candidate block, i.e., the variance of the spatial energy in the region within a temporal window, to identify the irregular changes of the fire's shape. Finally, temporal analysis is used for flickering detection.

#### A. Fire Color Probability

For the color probability (Fig. 3) of each candidate block, the nonparametrically estimated probabilities of each pixel in the block are used. More specifically, the total color probability of the candidate block is estimated as the average color probability of each pixel (i, j) in the block

$$P_{\text{block}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} P(i,j) \tag{3}$$

where N is the number of pixels in the block and P(i, j) is the fire color probability of each pixel (2).

#### B. Spatial Wavelet Analysis

Image regions containing real fires exhibit a higher spatial variation than those containing fire colored objects. To identify spatial variations in the region various techniques can be



Fig. 3. (a) Fire and (c) nonfire videos. (b) and (d) Corresponding masks.

adopted, such as edge detectors, interest points descriptors, and so on. In this paper, wavelet analysis using simple filters (Fig. 4) was used to achieve higher computational efficiency, since it can be implemented without any single multiplication, i.e., by simple register shifts. Specifically, a 2-D wavelet filter is applied on the red channel of each frame and the spatial wavelet energy at each pixel is calculated by adding the high–low, low–high, and high–high wavelet subimages according to

$$E(i, j) = HL(i, j)^{2} + LH(i, j)^{2} + HH(i, j)^{2}$$
(4)

where HL, LH, and HH are the high-frequency sub-bands of the wavelet decomposition. For each block, the spatial wavelet energy is estimated as the average of the energy of the pixels in the block

$$E_{\text{block}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} E(i,j)$$
(5)

where N is the number of the pixels in a block.



Fig. 4. (a) 2-D spatial wavelet analysis of an image. (b) HL is the high-low frequencies. (c) LH and (d) HH are the high-frequency sub-bands of the wavelet decomposition.



Fig. 5. Changes of spatial wavelet energy. (a) and (b) In the case of video containing actual fire the values of the energy vary between 90 and 700. (c) and (d) Values of the energy for a video containing a fire colored objects vary between 0 and 85.

For the single stage wavelet transform, the weights of the low-pass and high-pass filters are  $[0.25\ 0.5\ 0.25]$  and  $[-0.25\ 0.5\ -0.25]$ , respectively. Fig. 5 shows the value changes of spatial wavelet energy in a specific block for: 1) a subsequence of video containing real fire [Fig. 5(a) and (b)] and 2) a subsequence of video containing sunlight reflections [Fig. 5(c) and (d)]. As it is clearly shown, the values of spatial wavelet energy for the block containing fire are always higher due to the abnormal shapes formed by the fire.

#### C. Spatio-Temporal Analysis

The shape of flame changes irregularly due to the airflow caused by wind or due to the type of burning material. As a result, a real fire causes higher spatial variations within a specific time interval than a fire colored object. On the contrary



Fig. 6. Changes of spatio-temporal energy. (a) and (b) In the case of a subsequence of a video containing actual fire, the values of the energy vary between 200 and 235. (c) and (d) Values of the energy for a subsequence containing fire colored objects vary between 0 and 8.

to the previous feature, which aims to identify high-spatial energies in a single frame, this feature aims to indicate the spatio-temporal variations for each block in a sequence of frames. The temporal variance of the spatial energy of pixel (i, j) within a temporal window of T last frames is

$$V(i,j) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( E_t(i,j) - \bar{E}(i,j) \right)^2$$
(6)

where  $E_t$  is the spatial energy of the pixel in time instance t and  $\overline{E}$  the average value of this energy. For each block, the total spatio-temporal energy,  $V_{block}$ , is estimated by averaging the individual energy of pixels belonging in the block

$$V_{\text{block}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} V(i,j).$$
(7)

As shown in Section VIII, this proposed feature is very important in discriminating between fire and fire colored moving objects. As an example, the values of the spatiotemporal energy for a candidate block in a video containing actual fire [Fig. 6(a) and (b)] and a video containing a fire colored object [Fig. 6(c) and (d)] are shown in Fig. 6.

#### D. Temporal Analysis

Temporal analysis is applied to each candidate block to detect flickering effect. Flickering, which is one of the main features of flame, is due to its continuous random motion. To quantify the effect of flickering in a pixel, we estimate the number of transitions c(i, j) from fire candidate status, i.e., moving fire colored pixel, to nonfire candidate status, i.e., background color or nonmoving pixel, and vice-versa within a temporal window of T last frames. Then to estimate flickering energy of pixel (i, j) the following formula was used:

$$F(i, j) = 2^{c(i,j)} - 1.$$
 (8)



Fig. 7. Changes of flickering energy. (a) and (b) In the case of a subsequence containing actual fires the values of the energy vary between 240 and 250. (c) and (d) Values of the energy for a subsequence containing a fire colored moving object vary between 0 and 80.

The flickering feature  $F_{block}$  for each block is calculated as the average of the individual flickering contributions of the pixels in the block

$$F_{\text{block}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} F(i,j).$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

As an example, in Fig. 7, the values of  $F_{block}$  for a specific candidate block in a video containing fire [Fig. 7(a) and (b)] and a video containing a moving fire-colored object are indicatively presented.

#### V. DYNAMIC TEXTURE ANALYSIS

Since fire is a spatially and time-varying visual pattern, dynamic texture analysis can be applied in addition to spatiotemporal modeling to increase the reliability of the algorithm by modeling the temporal evolution of the pixels' intensities. To this end, we apply LDSs that were initially proposed in [1] and a bag-of-dynamical systems approach proposed recently in [16]. However, since these approaches focus on the categorization of video sequences containing natural scenes in multimedia databases, they cannot be applied directly to a fire detection system.

The main limitation that should be addressed is the computational cost needed for the dynamic texture analysis. For the categorization of video sequences, dense sampling is employed, which requires the estimation of LDSs in a large number of sampled image patches. However, as the spatial location and the size of the fire is unknown and varies from a video sequence to a video sequence, many selected image patches may not contain any information related to fire. It is easily conceivable that this number is significantly increased in case of a small fire in the scene, which is mainly the case for an early fire warning system. Since in our case we do not focus on scene categorization, but on the detection of a specific event, such as fire, in the scene, the processing of this redundant information not only increases prohibitively the computational cost, but also may introduce noisy data (i.e., LDSs corresponding to background, nonfire blocks) to the fire detection system, thus affecting the classification process. To reduce the computational burden, we focus only on those image regions for which we have an indication of fire existence. Toward this end, LDSs are estimated only for the pixels contained in the candidate fire blocks extracted from the first processing step of the proposed algorithm. For each candidate block a 3-D image patch of the same size (16 × 16) and temporal length equal to 16 (i.e., spatio-temporal cubes of size  $16 \times 16 \times 16$ ) is formed for the estimation of LDS.

More specifically, given a candidate block of  $n \times n$  pixels (n = 16) and F frames of the video sequence (F = 16), we can model the pixel intensities of the candidate block  $I_{block}(t)$  at each time instant t, where t = 1, ..., 16, assuming that the pixels contained in the 3-D image patch can be considered as a LDS

$$z(t+1) = Az(t) + Bv(t)$$
 (10)

$$I_{\text{block}}(t) = I_{\text{block}} + Cz(t) + w(t)$$
(11)

where  $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the hidden state at time t.

The dynamics of the hidden state is modeled by matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , while matrix  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$  (*p* is the number of pixels in a candidate block) maps the hidden state to the output of the system. The quantities w(t) and Bv(t) are the measurement and process noise, respectively, while  $\overline{I}_{block}$  is the mean value of the pixels' intensities in a candidate block for the sequence of *F* frames

$$\bar{I}_{\text{block}} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{t=1}^{F} I_{\text{block}}(t).$$
(12)

To identify the system, i.e., to estimate its parameters, a principal component analysis-based approach was proposed in [1]. According to this approach, a singular value decomposition of matrix Y is initially performed

$$Y = [I_{\text{block}}(1) - \bar{I}_{\text{block}}, \dots, I_{\text{block}}(F) - \bar{I}_{\text{block}}]$$
  
=  $U \Sigma V^{T}$ . (13)

Based on the outcome of this factorization process, the temporal evolution of the intensities in a candidate block can be efficiently described using the parameters of the LDS descriptor, i.e.,  $M_{block} = (A, C)$ , where C = U and matrix A can be easily computed using least-squares as

$$A = [z(2), z(3), \dots, z(F)][z(1), z(2), \dots, z(F-1)]^+ \quad (14)$$

where  $Z = [z(1), z(2), ..., z(F)] = \Sigma V^T$  are the estimated states of the system and  $Z^+$  represents the pseudoinverse of Z.

Using the extracted LDS descriptors, a codebook can be formed using K-Medoid classification method as proposed in [16]. However, to apply classification we need first to define a similarity metric between LDS descriptors, that is, a clustering approach applicable to the non-Euclidean space of LDSs to determine the similarity degree between two descriptors, e.g.,  $M_1 = (A_1, C_1)$  and  $M_2 = (A_2, C_2)$ . To overcome the problem, subspace angles between the two LDSs are initially



Fig. 8. Methodology for dynamic texture analysis. a) Training phase and b) testing phase.

calculated and then a Martin distance between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is used as a comparison metric [16], [23].

Similarly to the sequence categorization problem, where the training set consists of video sequences from all classes, we used LDSs corresponding to candidate blocks containing both fire and fire-colored moving objects. As shown in Fig. 8(a), these training LDSs are fed into K-Medoid algorithm for the creation of a codebook consisting of K representative LDSs, i.e., codewords. Various numbers of codewords can be used for this purpose, however, previous studies have shown that using more than 64 clusters does not significantly change the categorization performance [16]. For this reason and to keep the computational cost as low as possible, the number of Kwas set equal to 64 in our experiments. Given a codebook of LDSs, a full video sequence can be represented using this vocabulary. While this is the case in a video categorization problem, in the case of a real fire detection system, this would create two significant problems: 1) the system would not be able to determine the time of the fire incident. However, in each time instant there should be a decision whether there is a fire or not in the scene and 2) as time passes the volume of data is continuously increased. To address the problem, we divided the video into equally sized subsequences (Fig. 9) using a sliding time window T (in our experiments T = 100) and we then represent each subsequence as a term frequency histogram h = $[h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_K]^T$  of the predefined codewords. As shown in Fig. 8(a), such subsequence representations are extracted from the training data to create two distinctive classes. The first class contains histograms representing subsequences with real fires,



Fig. 9. (a) 3-D image patch of size  $16 \times 16 \times F$  (where F = 16) is formed for each candidate block (three candidate blocks in this figure). (b) LDSs are estimated only for the candidate blocks of a sliding time window of *T* frames (in our experiments we used a time window of 100 frames). 3-D image patches are formed for the candidate blocks of each frame of the time window.

while the second one consists of histograms corresponding to fire colored moving objects. These distributions of codewords are used by the next step for the training of the SVM classifier.

For the classification of a new query, as shown in Fig. 8(b), the distribution of codewords for a specific subsequence is estimated and the extracted histogram is send to the SVM classifier to infer the class label D. This label is assigned as a feature  $D_{block}$  to the candidate blocks of the current frame, i.e., the last frame of the subsequence, indicating the possibility of fire existence in this time instant. However, this processing step



Fig. 10. Calculation of  $E_{\text{consistency}}$  using neighboring candidate and fire labeled blocks from current and previous frames.

cannot provide any information related to the location of the fire in the scene. Toward this end, the information extracted from dynamic texture analysis should be finally fused with that of spatio-temporal flame modeling and spatio-temporal consistency energy in the classification step of the proposed method. This combination of data increases also the reliability of the system, as shown in Section VIII.

## VI. SPATIO-TEMPORAL CONSISTENCY ENERGY

In this section, we aim to propose a method for enhancing the reliability of the algorithm, by considering the features extracted from both spatio-temporal flame modeling and dynamic texture analysis as well as prior knowledge about the possible existence of fire in neighboring blocks in a 3-D image patch.

Toward this end, we propose the estimation of a consistency energy inspired by approaches based on MRFs. The MRF approaches are iterative methods that apply global optimization to solve labeling problems based on a data and a smoothness term [24], [25]. However, such techniques cannot directly be applied to an early fire warning system due to the increased computational cost required for the energy minimization procedure. Hence, in this step of the algorithm we do not attempt to address the problem through an energy minimization approach, but rather estimate a consistency energy feature that can be used as an indication of fire in the block considering the state of neighboring blocks in a 3-D image patch.

More specifically, we estimate a consistency energy cost  $C_{block}$  for each candidate block consisting of two terms: 1) a data cost considering the features in the current block and 2) a smoothness cost considering the state of neighboring blocks

$$C_{\text{block}} = E_{\text{data}} + E_{\text{smoothness}}.$$
 (15)

The data cost is defined as the sum of the previously defined features for the candidate block in the previous sections

$$E_{\text{data}} = P_{\text{block}} + E_{\text{block}} + V_{\text{block}} + F_{\text{block}} + D_{\text{block}}.$$
 (16)

The smoothness term  $E_{\text{smoothness}}$  consists of two terms depending on: 1) the number of candidate fire blocks in the



Fig. 11. Changes of spatio-temporal consistency energy. (a) and (b) In the case of a subsequence containing actual fire the values of the energy vary between 1010 and 1070. (c) and (d) Values of the energy for a subsequence containing a fire colored moving object vary between 0 and 50.



Fig. 12. Firesense data set: screenshots of video sequences containing (a) actual fires and (b) fire colored moving objects.

current frame and the previous frame and 2) the number of fire-labeled blocks in the three previous frames, as shown in Fig. 10. For the estimation of the smoothness term, a  $3 \times 3$  spatial neighborhood around the candidate block is used.



Fig. 13. Comparison of the proposed algorithm with correlation descriptors [14], multiple features and rule-based classification [15], and spatio-temporal consistency energy [27] algorithms using Firesense data set. (a) Average true-positive rates. (b) Average false-positive rates. (c) Average for both true- and false-positive rates.



Fig. 14. Pictorial example of fire detection in three specific blocks.

More specifically, the smoothness term can be defined as

$$E_{\text{smoothness}} = E_{\text{cand}} + E_{\text{label}}$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} [a_i N_{\text{cand}}(t-i)] + \sum_{i=1}^{m} [b_i N_{\text{label}}(t-i)]$  (17)

where n = 1 (i.e., only current and previous frame are considered), m = 3 (for the three previous frames),  $N_{cand}(t)$  is the number of candidate fire-blocks in the neighborhood of the candidate block in frame t, and  $N_{label}(t)$  is the number of fire-labeled blocks in the neighborhood of the candidate block in frame t. For our experiments, the following weights were used  $a_0 = a_1 = 1$ , while  $b_1 = 2$ ,  $b_2 = 1.5$ , and  $b_3 = 1$ . By allocating a larger weight  $(b_i > a_i)$  to the blocks that permanently labeled by the algorithm as fire blocks, we improve the efficiency and reduce the number of false alarms.

As is shown in Section VIII, spatio-temporal consistency energy feature contributes significantly to the robustness of the algorithm, as it combines the prior knowledge about the possible existence of fire in neighboring blocks with the spatio-temporal and dynamic texture analysis features of the candidate block. Fig. 11 shows the value of spatiotemporal consistency energy in a specific block of videos containing actual fire [Fig. 11(a) and (b)] and fire colored object [Fig. 11(c) and (d)].

## VII. CLASSIFICATION

As a last step, classification is used to obtain the final decision about whether a block is a fire block or not based on the extracted features described in the previous sections. To this end, a feature vector consisting of six features  $f = [P_{block}, E_{block}, V_{block}, F_{block}, D_{block}, C_{block}]$  is created. This vector is fed as input to a two-class (fire and nonfire) SVMs classifier with a RBF kernel to classify the candidate fire regions. The training set consisted of 5000 randomly selected candidate blocks from four fire and four nonfire video sequences of the Firesense data set. Specifically, the training set of candidate blocks was obtained from the positive video sequences posVideo2, posVideo3, posVideo9, posVideo10 (the total number of candidate blocks in these video sequences is 16796, 14669, 63806, and 6125, respectively), and from the negative video sequences negVideo2, negVideo5, negVideo6, and negVideo8 (the total number of candidate blocks in these video sequences is 1150, 742, 122, and 501, respectively) of the Firesense data set. In total, the candidate blocks used for the training of the SVM algorithm is the 4.81% of the total number of candidate blocks in the eight fire and nonfire video sequences.

#### VIII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we present a detailed experimental evaluation of our method using both fire and nonfire videos from two data sets: 1) the Firesense data set [26] and 2) a set of video sequences used in Ko's experiments [12]. Both data sets have been used in the past for the comparison of flame detection algorithms. Specifically, three state of the art algorithms [13] have been tested with the first data set, while three



Fig. 15. Comparison of the proposed algorithm with Toreyin's algorithm [5], Ko's algorithm based on hierarchical Bayesian networks [11], and Ko's algorithm based on FFA [12] using the video set used in Ko's experiments. (a) Average true-positive rates. (b) Average false-positive rates. (c) Average for both trueand false-positive rates for all algorithms.



Fig. 16. Data set used in Ko's experiments: screenshots of video sequences containing (a) actual fires and (b) fire colored moving objects.

other [5], [11], [12] with the second data set. To examine the proposed fire-flame detection algorithm, we estimated the number of correctly detected flame frames out of the total number of flame frames (true positive) and the number of nonflame frames that erroneously recognized as flame frames out of the total number of nonflame frames (false positive). For comparison reasons, a frame is labeled as a fire frame if it contains at least one fire block, as in [13]. The average frame rate of the proposed method was 5.2 frames/s for video sequences with resolution  $320 \times 240$ , which is considered adequate for an early fire warning system. The experiments were performed with a PC that has a Core i5 2.4-GHz processor.

Finally, to further analyze the detection efficiency of the proposed algorithm, we provide a detailed study regarding the contribution of each feature in the classification process. Specifically, we apply the proposed algorithm to both data sets excluding each time one feature from the classification process. In each evaluation test, we follow the same training strategy for the SVM classifier, i.e., the same training candidate blocks are used, however, the excluded feature (or a set

of features) is ignored each time from the training process of the SVM.

#### A. Firesense Data Set

The Firesense data set consists of eleven fire videos and ten nonfire videos (Fig. 12). The performance of the proposed method was compared with three existing flame detection algorithms that were tested with this data set in [13]: 1) correlation descriptors [14]; 2) multiple features and rule-based classification [15]; and 3) spatio-temporal consistency energy [27].

As shown in Fig. 13, the proposed method outperforms with an average true-positive rate of 99.17%, while it did not produce any false positive due to the coupling of spatiotemporal modeling and dynamic texture analysis. A small number of missed detections was only observed in the last video of Fig. 12(a), where the size of the fire is extremely small in the first frames of the sequence. On the other hand, the rule-based classification [15], which is only based on spatiotemporal features, gives high-fire detection rates, however, it is significantly vulnerable to false alarms produced by fire-colored moving objects. The estimation of the consistency energy of the spatio-temporal features, proposed in our previous work [27], and its inclusion in the classification process, shows that improves significantly the robustness of the algorithm, reducing, however, slightly its detection ability. On the contrary, the use of 3-D spatio-temporal image patches instead of 2-D blocks and the estimation of the covariance matrix descriptors in [14] produce low-false alarms rates, affecting, however, the detection rate of the algorithm.

Regarding the computational cost of the dynamic texture analysis, as it was mentioned in Section V, only pixels contained in the candidate fire blocks are considered for the estimation of LDSs. This approach reduces significantly the computational burden in comparison with the dense sampling used for the categorization of video sequences. The computational gain heavily depends on the size of fire in the image. For instance, in the fifth video sequence in Fig. 12(a), in which the fire covers a large part of the image, the computational cost is reduced by 72.04%, while in case of small fires [e.g., the sixth video in Fig. 12(a)] the reduction is even higher, i.e., 98.65% and can reach to 99.54% in nonfire videos [e.g., sixth video in Fig. 12(b)]. Fig. 14 shows a pictorial example



Fig. 17. Contribution of the main three elements of the proposed algorithm to the classification process. (a) Average true-positive rates. (b) Average false-positive rates. (c) Average for both true- and false-positive rates.



Fig. 18. Contribution of features participating in the spatio-temporal flame modeling to the classification process. (a) Average true-positive rates. (b) Average false-positive rates. (c) Average for both true- and false-positive rates.

of fire detection [first video in Fig. 12(a)] in three specific blocks of the image with respect to time.

#### B. Video Sequences Used in Ko's Experiments

To further evaluate the performance of the proposed method, we tested our algorithm on the video set (Fig. 16) used in Ko's experiments, which consists of eight fire videos and eight nonfire videos. Its performance was compared with three state of the art algorithms: 1) Toreyin's algorithm [5]; 2) Ko's algorithm based on hierarchical Bayesian networks [11]; and 3) Ko's algorithm based on FFA [12].

Toreyin's algorithm, which is based purely on spatiotemporal features, produces lower detection rate than the other three algorithms. In addition, Ko's method [11] produces better classification results using hierarchical Bayesian networks, however, it also seems to miss a significant number of real fire flames. As shown in Fig. 15, both algorithms produce a number of false alarms, which are mainly due to continuous light changes in some videos, especially those containing flickering car lights. On the other hand, the method based on FFA [12] produces high-detection rates due to the use of feature probability models for detecting flame flickering over time. The missed detections are owned to the abrupt movements of flame due to the wind, as the method is based on the assumption, which is not always true, that fire has only upward motion. Nevertheless, the method seems to be really robust to false alarms. Finally, the proposed algorithm

provides extremely high-detection rates, 99.65%, while it is able to discriminate fire-colored moving objects from real fires without producing any false alarm.

#### C. Analysis of Features' Contribution

In this section, we elaborate a more detailed analysis on the experimental results in terms of the contribution of each feature in the classification process. To have a more clear view, we apply a two-step validation procedure using both Firesense and Ko's datasets: we first analyze the contribution of each one of the three main elements of the proposed method, i.e., spatio-temporal modeling, dynamic texture analysis, and spatio-temporal consistency energy, and then we study the role of each individual spatio-temporal feature in the classification approach. As it was also mentioned, in each evaluation test we exclude one feature or a set of features from the classification process. To have comparable results, we also train each time the SVM classifier using the same training data (i.e., the 5000 candidate blocks), but ignoring the excluded feature or features from the training process.

Fig. 17 shows the average detection rate of the algorithm using each time one of the three main parts of the proposed method. As it is clearly shown, dynamic texture analysis produces lower detection rate, 84.25%, than spatio-temporal modeling, 91.12%, while the detection rate is significantly increased when we couple spatio-temporal modeling with spatio-temporal consistency energy, 97.66%, (in this case

only spatio-temporal features are considered in the estimation of the energy). It is worth mentioning that when we exclude spatio-temporal consistency energy (i.e., using only dynamic texture analysis and spatio-temporal flame modeling) the detection rate remains low, i.e., 91.34%. This fact really indicates the significance of the proposed spatio-temporal consistency energy in the classification process. On the other hand, as it is clearly shown in Fig. 17(b), the dynamic texture analysis is extremely robust to false alarms, as no false positive is produced. Similarly, spatio-temporal consistency energy contributes significantly to the reduction of the false-positive rate of spatio-temporal flame modeling from 4.93% to 0.25%.

The above analysis makes evident that each of the three main elements of the proposed algorithm plays a crucial role in the classification process. More specifically, spatio-temporal features contribute mainly to the increase of the detection rate of the algorithm, while dynamic texture analysis enhances the robustness of the algorithm to false alarms. Finally, the consistency energy seems to improve both true- and falsepositive rates. The exclusion of any of the above elements from the classification process deteriorates significantly the performance of the algorithm, as shown in Fig. 17.

The next validation tests concern the contribution of spatiotemporal flame modeling features to the classification process. Similarly, the SVM classifier is trained with the same training set of 5000 candidate blocks and then the proposed algorithm is evaluated using both Firesense and Ko's video data sets excluding each time a particular feature from both the training and classification process. Experimental results show that spatio-temporal analysis feature proposed in this paper for the identification of the random variations of flame appearance plays a significant role in the classification process.

On the other hand, the detection of fire's random motion through temporal modeling improves the robustness of the algorithm, since many fire-colored moving objects do not cause any flickering effect (however, this is not true in the case of car lights, reflections and so on, where temporal modeling has less contribution to the classification process). Finally, while color is a significant factor for filtering out regions containing moving objects, it contributes less than the other features to the classification process as all candidate blocks (either containing fire or nonfire objects) contain pixels with color similar to that of fire.

## D. Parameters' Analysis

In all experimental results presented in this section (Fig. 18), we used a block size equal to  $16 \times 16$ , which was used in the past by other researchers [14]. By reducing the size of the block, the detection rate can be further increased, since the algorithm is able to detect even smaller fires, however, this also increases the false alarm rate. Similarly, by increasing the size of the block, we can enhance the robustness of the algorithm, reducing, however, its sensitivity. For instance in the last fire video of Firesense data set, where the size of the fire is small in the first frames of the video, the detection rate is reduced to 64.8% from 93.787% for a block size

of  $32 \times 32$ , while it is increased to 95.27% for a block size of  $8 \times 8$ . However, using  $8 \times 8$  blocks increases the false alarm rate by 2.16% for videos containing car lights. Furthermore, the use of a time window of 100 frames seems to be suitable, since larger values increase the computational cost, while smaller values reduce the detection rate of the algorithm (e.g., values below 50 produce a reduction of 9% to the detection rate). Finally, the size of the codebook was set to 64, since as it was discussed in Section V, a larger codebook size does not significantly improve the categorization performance.

## IX. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed an algorithm for real time videobased flame detection. By modeling both the behavior of the fire using various spatio-temporal features and the temporal evolution of the pixels' intensities in a candidate image block through dynamic texture analysis, we showed that we can have high-detection rates, while reducing the false alarms caused by fire-colored moving objects. The use of spatio-temporal consistency energy increases the robustness of the algorithm by exploiting prior knowledge about the possible existence of fire in neighboring blocks from the current and previous video frames. Experimental results with 37 videos containing actual fire and moving fire colored objects showed that the proposed algorithm outperforms existing flame detection algorithms. Due to the dynamic texture analysis, the computational requirements of the proposed method are higher compared with these of other algorithms-based solely on spatio-temporal modeling, however, the average frame rate of the algorithm is still considered adequate for an early fire warning system. Future implementations in FPGAs are expected to increase more the average frame rate of the algorithm.

#### REFERENCES

- G. Doretto, A. Chiuso, Y. Wu, and S. Soatto, "Dynamic textures," Int. J. Comput. Vis., vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 91–109, Feb. 2003.
- [2] E. A. Cetin et al., "Video fire detection—Review," Digit. Signal Process., vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1827–2843, Dec. 2013.
- [3] T.-H. Chen, P.-H. Wu, and Y.-C. Chiou, "An early fire-detection method based on image processing," in *Proc. ICIP*, Oct. 2004, pp. 1707–1710.
- [4] C.-B. Liu and N. Ahuja, "Vision based fire detection," in Proc. ICPR, Aug. 2004, pp. 134–137.
- [5] B. Toreyin, Y. Dedeoglu, U. Gudukbay, and A. Cetin, "Computer vision based method for real-time fire and flame detection," *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 49–58, Jan. 2006.
- [6] B. Toreyin, Y. Dedeoglu, and A. E. Cetin,, "Flame detection in video using hidden Markov models," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process.*, Sep. 2005,
- [7] Z. Zhang, J. Zhao, D. Zhang, C. Qu, Y. Ke, and B. Cai, "Contour based forest fire detection using FFT and wavelet," in *Proc. CSSE*, Dec. 2008, pp. 760–763.
- [8] T. Çelik and H. Demirel, "Fire detection in video sequences using a generic color model," *Fire Safety J.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 147–158, Feb. 2009.
- [9] G. Marbach, M. Loepfe, and T. Brupbacher, "An image processing technique for fire detection in video images," *Fire Safety J.*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 285–289, Jun. 2006.
- [10] P. V. K. Borges and E. Izquierdo, "A probabilistic approach for visionbased fire detection in videos," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 721–731, May 2010.
- [11] B. C. Ko, K.-H. Cheong, and J.-Y. Nam, "Early fire detection algorithm based on irregular patterns of flames and hierarchical Bayesian networks," *Fire Safety J.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 262–270, Jun. 2010.

- [12] B. C. Ko, S. J. Ham, and J. Y. Nam, "Modeling and formalization of fuzzy finite automata for detection of irregular fire flames," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1903-1912, Dec. 2011.
- [13] K. Dimitropoulos et al., "Flame detection for video-based early fire warning for the protection of cultural heritage," in Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Prog. Cult. Heritage (EuroMed), Limassol, Cyprus, Oct. 2012, pp. 378-387.
- [14] Y. H. Habiboğlu, O. Günay, and E. A. Çetin, "Covariance matrix-based fire and flame detection method in video," Mach. Vis. Appl., vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1103-1113, Nov. 2012.
- [15] K. Dimitropoulos, F. Tsalakanidou, and N. Grammalidis, "Flame detection for video-based early fire warning systems and 3D visualization of fire propagation," in Proc. IASTED Int. Conf. Comput. Graph. Imag., Dec. 2012.
- [16] A. Ravichandran, R. Chaudhry, and R. Vidal, "Categotizing dynamic textures using a bag of dynamical systems," IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 342-353, Feb. 2013.
- [17] Z. Bar-Joseph, R. El-Yaniv, D. Lischinski, and M. Werman, "Texture mixing and texture movie synthesis using statistical learning," IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph., vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 120-135, Apr. 2001.
- [18] V. Kwatra, A. Schödl, I. Essa, G. Turk, and A. Bobick, "Graphcut textures: Image and video synthesis using graph cuts," ACM Trans. Graph., vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 277-286, Jul. 2003.
- [19] M. Szummer and R. W. Picard, "Temporal texture modeling," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., Sep. 1996, pp. 823-826.
- [20] A. Elgammal, D. Harwood, and L. Davis, "Non-parametric model for background subtraction," in Proc. 6th Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis., Dublin, Ireland, Jun. 2000, pp. 751-767.
- [21] N. Oudjane and C. Mousso, "L<sup>2</sup>-density estimation with negative kernels," in Proc. Image Signal Process. Anal., Sep. 2005, pp. 34-39.
- [22] S. Mika, G. Raetsch, J. Weston, B. Schoelkopf, and K. R. Mueller, "Fisher discriminant analysis with kernels," in Proc. IEEE Signal Process. Workshop Neural Netw. Signal Process., 1999, pp. 41-48.
- [23] K. D. Cock and B. D. Moor, "Subspace angles and distances between ARMA models," Syst. Control Lett., vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 265-270, Jul. 2002.
- [24] Y. Boykov, O. Veksler, and R. Zabih, "Fast approximate energy minimization via graph cuts," IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 1222-1239, Nov. 2001.
- [25] K. Dimitropoulos, T. Semertzidis, and N. Grammalidis, "3D content generation for autostereoscopic displays," in Proc. 3DTV, Berlin, Germany, May 2009, pp. 1-4.
- [26] FIRESENSE Project Protection of Cultural Heritage. FIRESENSE. [Online]. Available: http://www.firesense.eu/, accessed Oct. 29, 2014.
- [27] P. Barmpoutis, K. Dimitropoulos, and N. Grammalidis, "Real time video fire detection using spatio-temporal consistency energy," in Proc. 10th IEEE Int. Conf. Adv. Video Signal Based Surveill., Krakow, Poland, Aug. 2013, pp. 365-370.



Kosmas Dimitropoulos received the B.Sc. degree in electrical and computer engineering from Democritus University, Xanthi, Greece, in 2001 and the Ph.D. degree in applied informatics from University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece, in 2007.

He is a Post-Doctoral Research Fellow with the Information Technologies Institute, Centre for Research and Technology Hellasand, Thessaloniki, and a Visiting Lecturer with University of Macedonia. His research interests include computer vision, pattern recognition, 3-D motion analysis from multiple depth cameras, 3-D graphics, and visualization.

Dr. Dimitropoulos has participated in several European and National Research Projects, and has served as a regular reviewer for a number of international journals and conferences.



Panagiotis Barmpoutis received the B.Eng. and M.Eng. degrees in electrical and computer engineering from Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, in 2009 and the M.Sc. degrees in forestry informatics and medical informatics from Aristotle University of Thessaloniki in 2012 and 2013, respectively.

He has been a Research Assistant with the Information Technologies Institute, Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Thessaloniki, since 2012. His research interests include computer vision and

applications, real-time image processing, analysis and visualization, pattern recognition, and machine learning.



Nikos Grammalidis received the B.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical and computer engineering from Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, in 1992 and 2000, respectively.

He was a Researcher with the 3-D Imaging Laboratory, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. He currently is a Senior Researcher (Researcher Grade B) with the Information Technologies Institute, Centre of Research and Technology Hellas, Thessaloniki. He has co-authored more than 25 articles in refereed

journals and more than 75 papers in international conferences. His research interests include computer vision, signal, image, and video processing, stereoscopy, and multiview image sequence analysis and coding.

Dr. Grammalidis has been involved in more than 25 European commission and national projects since 1992, and has served as a regular reviewer for a number of international journals and conferences.